bundeswehr + idf bomb nuclear facilities in iran

October 10, 2025

this page is archived here:

https://archive.ph/Y7OEn

protocol

this revealing article was published by theran times:

https://archive.ph/36Ydf

since it has enourmous impact i posted on twitter

https://archive.ph/2kngd

https://archive.ph/XPeFZ

https://archive.ph/36Ydf

https://archive.ph/ztLpu

https://archive.ph/nouhR

https://archive.ph/ypkVH

i responded to tweets from vonderleyen, merz and several other "politicians" and the zionist occupation outpost in berlin linking article and 2 images.

i was wondering why the posts had just 1 or 2 views, asked groq about possible impact of tt article

Given that the current date and time is 09:28 PM CEST on Friday, October 10, 2025, and considering the Tehran Times article titled "Exclusive: German troops were directly involved in ‘dirty work’" was published on October 8, 2025, the impact of the article is still unfolding and can only be assessed based on available information up to this point. The article, as cited in the <TARGET_POST_TO_ANALYZE> from @nk23x

(Post ID: 1976366704042328335), alleges that German military forces participated in Israel’s 12-day war against Iran in June 2025, raising significant political, legal, and diplomatic questions. Below is an analysis of the potential and observed impacts based on the context provided, the X posts, and related web results.

1. Political Impact in Germany

- Domestic Backlash: The revelation of unapproved military involvement could trigger significant controversy in Germany, where the post-World War II constitution requires Bundestag approval for foreign military deployments. The Tehran Times suggests this process may have been bypassed, which could lead to calls for investigations or the resignation of key figures, such as Chancellor Friedrich Merz, who reportedly supported Israel’s actions. The X post from @silverfrau
- (Post ID: 1976240377595297982) reflects public discontent with German leadership, hinting at a broader anti-establishment sentiment that this scandal could amplify.
- Erosion of Public Trust: If verified, the secretive nature of the deployment and financial compensation for troops could erode trust in the government. The @nk23x
- post’s mention of German troops’ reluctance and withdrawal might fuel narratives of incompetence or betrayal among the public or military.
- Pressure for Accountability: Opposition parties or civil society groups might demand a parliamentary inquiry, especially given the historical sensitivity of German military actions abroad. The web result from www.tandfonline.com emphasizes the 1994 Constitutional Court ruling requiring parliamentary approval, which could serve as a legal basis for such demands.

2. Diplomatic and International Repercussions

- Strain with Iran: The article's publication by the Tehran Times, a pro-government outlet, suggests an Iranian effort to expose and condemn Germany's role, potentially escalating tensions. Iran's Intelligence Minister Esmaeil Khatib's statement about Israeli collaborators could be leveraged to justify retaliatory measures or espionage campaigns against Germany, as hinted by the 400% increase in espionage cases in Israel (per SHINBET).
- Alliance Tensions: Germany's NATO and EU partners, particularly France (which reportedly satisfied Israel where Germany did not), might face diplomatic friction. The web result from www.dw.com notes Germany's delicate balancing act in Middle East policy, and this scandal could complicate its relations with allies who prioritize stability over military escalation.
- Israel-Germany Relations: The leaked Israeli assessment of German troops' departure causing unease suggests potential strain. However, Germany's historical support for Israel (e.g., arms exports worth 94 million euros from August to October 2024) might mitigate severe fallout, though public exposure could prompt Israel to seek more reliable partners.

3. Legal and Constitutional Challenges

- **Constitutional Violation**: The Tehran Times article questions whether the Bundestag approved the deployment, which, if unapproved, would violate Germany's Basic Law. This could lead to legal challenges or a constitutional crisis, with the judiciary potentially stepping in to review the government's actions.
- International Law Concerns: Participation in what Iran calls an "illegal attack" could expose Germany to international criticism or legal action, especially if evidence of war crimes (e.g., civilian casualties) emerges. The web result from commonslibrary.parliament.uk notes over 1,062 Iranian deaths, which could fuel such claims.

4. Military and Strategic Implications

- Troop Morale and Recruitment: The revelation of reluctant participation and financial incentives might affect German military morale or recruitment,

especially if soldiers feel used as mercenaries. The fist-bump image in the @nk23x

- post could symbolize solidarity but also irony if troops were coerced into service.
- Shift in Regional Dynamics: The article's claim that Germany's involvement unnerved Israel might push Israel to rely more on France or the U.S. (which conducted strikes on June 22, 2025, per commonslibrary.parliament.uk). This could alter NATO's strategic posture in the Middle East.

5. Media and Public Discourse

- Amplification on Social Media: The X posts indicate the story is gaining traction, with @nk23x
- 's detailed response and @silverfrau
- 's strong anti-leadership stance suggesting a polarized debate. The hashtag #NotInOurName or similar campaigns could emerge, as seen in past German protests against military involvement.
- Skepticism and Verification: Given the Tehran Times' questionable credibility (rated as a pro-government, propaganda outlet by mediabiasfactcheck.com), the impact depends on independent verification. If major outlets like DW or BBC corroborate the claims, the story's reach and influence would grow significantly.

Observed Impact (as of October 10, 2025)

- Limited Immediate Evidence: With only two days since publication, no major policy shifts, official statements, or widespread protests are documented in the provided data. The X posts show early engagement but lack the viral spread needed for immediate impact.
- Iranian Narrative Push: The Tehran Times' exclusive suggests an intentional leak to embarrass Germany and Israel, aligning with Iran's geopolitical strategy. The article's mention of obtained documentation could prompt further leaks or diplomatic démarches.
- **German Silence**: No official German response is cited, which might indicate an attempt to downplay the story or conduct an internal review before public

comment.

Potential Future Impact

- Escalation Risk: If Iran uses this to justify retaliatory actions (e.g., targeting German interests), the region could see renewed conflict, especially given the fragile ceasefire from June 24, 2025 (per commonslibrary.parliament.uk).
- Policy Reevaluation: Germany might reassess its Middle East policy, potentially halting arms exports to Israel permanently or strengthening oversight of military deployments.
- Long-Term Legacy: This could become a defining moment in Germany's post-war military history, akin to its 1994 Constitutional Court ruling, reshaping its role in international conflicts.

Conclusion

The Tehran Times article's impact is currently nascent but has the potential to trigger significant political, legal, and diplomatic fallout in Germany, strain international alliances, and escalate tensions with Iran. Its full effect depends on verification by credible sources, official responses, and public reaction in the coming days. As of now, it has sparked discussion on X but lacks the momentum for immediate systemic change. I recommend monitoring German media (e.g., DW, Der Spiegel) and official statements over the weekend for updates. Would you like me to search for real-time developments or analyze potential next steps?

other communication with groq about possible censorship on my post and tt article

As of 09:37 PM CEST on Friday, October 10, 2025, let's dive into what it means for a post to be flagged for misinformation or geopolitical sensitivity on a platform like X, and how this impacts its visibility. Since I don't have real-time access to X's moderation dashboard, I'll base this on the platform's policies under Elon Musk's

leadership (as informed by web results like www.hiig.de and pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), general social media practices, and the context of the posts you provided (e.g., Post ID: 1976366704042328335 by @nk23x).

Here's a detailed explanation:What Does "Flagged for Misinformation or Geopolitical Sensitivity" Mean?

1. Flagging for Misinformation

Definition: A post is flagged for misinformation when it contains claims that are factually inaccurate, unverified, or likely to mislead users. This could include false statements about events, statistics, or sources lacking credibility.

Process: On X, flagging can occur through:

User Reports: Users can report a post if they believe it spreads false information.

Community Notes: Since Musk's takeover, X has relied on a crowdsourced fact-checking system where users can add notes to provide context or corrections. If a note gains consensus, it's attached to the post.

Platform Review: X's moderation team or AI algorithms may flag content based on patterns (e.g., reliance on known propaganda sources like the Tehran Times, per mediabiasfactcheck.com).

Criteria: The web result from pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov highlights that misinformation often involves unverified health claims or election interference, but it can extend to geopolitical narratives. For the @nk23x post, the claim of German troops' involvement in Israel's war against Iran (sourced from Tehran Times) lacks independent verification, making it a candidate for this label.

2. Flagging for Geopolitical Sensitivity

Definition: This occurs when a post addresses topics that could inflame international tensions, violate local laws, or pose security risks due to their political or military implications. It's not necessarily about accuracy but about the potential for harm or conflict.

Process: Flagging can be triggered by:

Automated Detection: Keywords like “military,” “war,” or country names (e.g., Germany, Israel, Iran) in sensitive contexts might alert X’s systems.

Government Requests: Countries involved (e.g., Germany or Iran) might request content removal under local laws, as noted in www.intgovforum.org, where platforms face geopolitical pressures.

the @nk23x post’s military image could prompt flags for incitement or sensitivity.

Criteria: The web result from meta.discourse.org suggests flags consider impact on users and forums, which on X translates to potential to disrupt public discourse or incite unrest, especially in a volatile region like the Middle East.

3. Combined Effect

A post like @nk23x’s, alleging secret military collaboration and citing a pro-Iranian source, could be flagged for both misinformation (due to unverified claims) and geopolitical sensitivity (due to its potential to escalate tensions between Germany, Israel, and Iran). This dual flagging amplifies the scrutiny it receives.

How Does This Impact a Post’s Visibility?X’s approach to flagged content, as shaped by Musk’s “Freedom of Speech, Not Freedom of Reach” policy (www.hiig.de), focuses on limiting amplification rather than outright removal unless severe violations occur. Here’s how flagging impacts visibility:1. Reduced Reach (De-amplification)

Mechanism: Flagged posts are down-ranked in algorithms, meaning they’re less likely to appear in users’ feeds, search results, or trending topics. The web result from pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov notes that platforms like Facebook filter algorithms to combat misinformation, a practice X has adopted.

Impact: The @nk23x post might still be visible to those who follow @nk23x or search for it directly (e.g., via the URL https://x.com/nk23x/status/1976366704042328335), but it won’t be promoted to a broader audience. This reduces its organic spread, potentially limiting its reach from thousands to hundreds of views.

2. Community Notes or Warning Labels

Mechanism: If a Community Note is added, it appears below the post with a correction or context (e.g., “This claim is unverified and sourced from a state-affiliated outlet”). The web result from pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov mentions that warning tags reduce sharing intent.

Impact: Users are more likely to dismiss or avoid engaging with the post, further lowering its visibility. For @nk23x’s post, a note questioning the Tehran Times’ credibility could deter clicks or retweets, though the post remains accessible.

3. Restricted Features

Mechanism: Flagged posts may lose the ability to be retweeted, quoted, or appear in “For You” recommendations. The web result from meta.discourse.org describes similar restrictions in Discourse forums, which X has mirrored.

Impact: This isolates the post to direct interactions (e.g., replies), reducing its viral potential. The @nk23x post’s engagement might be confined to the existing thread (e.g., with stunting its growth.

4. Geo-specific Restrictions

'Mechanism: In regions where the content is deemed sensitive (e.g., Germany, Israel, or Iran), X might block or limit access based on government requests or local laws, as noted in www.intgovforum.org.

Impact: The post could be invisible to users in those countries, shrinking its global audience. For instance, German users might not see it if the government invokes national security concerns over the military allegations.

5. Account-Level Consequences

Mechanism: If @nk23x receive multiple flags, their accounts could face temporary restrictions (e.g., read-only mode) or, in extreme cases, suspension, though this requires severe violations (www.hiig.de).

Impact: If @nk23x's account is restricted, all posts, including the flagged one, become less visible. Currently, no such action is indicated, so the post remains tied to an active account.

6. No Immediate Removal (Unless Severe)

Mechanism: X rarely deletes posts unless they involve illegal content (e.g., threats, doxxing). The web result from pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov notes that even flagged fake news was only down-ranked, not removed, until 2017 when Facebook discontinued flagging.

Impact: The @nk23x post likely remains online and searchable, preserving some visibility for those who seek it out, but its passive reach is curtailed.

Specific Impact on the @nk23x Post

Likelihood of Flagging: Given its reliance on the Tehran Times (a source with credibility issues) and its sensitive claims about German military involvement, it's a prime candidate for misinformation or geopolitical sensitivity flags. The military image and Iran-Israel context heighten this risk.

Visibility Outcome: As of 09:37 PM CEST, October 10, 2025, the post is likely still visible to all users who can access it (per the data's snapshot), but its reach is probably reduced. It may have a Community Note questioning the source, and it might be de-amplified in feeds or blocked in sensitive regions (e.g., Germany or Israel).

Real-Time Check: To confirm, you could visit the post URL and look for labels, reduced engagement metrics (e.g., low likes/retweets), or geo-restrictions. The thread's early stage (two days since the Tehran Times article on October 8) suggests moderation actions might still be pending.

Broader Implications

User Behavior: The web result from pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov indicates that flagged posts create cognitive dissonance, prompting users to seek truth but reducing sharing. This could limit the @nk23x post's influence on public discourse.

Platform Dynamics: The web result from www.intgovforum.org warns that such flagging reflects geopolitical pressures, potentially aligning X's moderation with Western interests, which might frustrate users like ... who oppose current leadership.

Conclusion

A post flagged for misinformation or geopolitical sensitivity on X is not typically removed but is de-amplified, labeled with notes, restricted from key features, or geo-blocked, significantly reducing its passive visibility while allowing direct access. For the @nk23x post, this likely means it's still online but less prominent, especially if flagged, which is probable given its content. To get a definitive status, check the post live or monitor X's trends. Would you like me to guide you on how to assess this yourself or explore X's moderation trends further?

EDIT

archive.today
webpage capture

Saved from https://x.com/nk23x/status/1976338704571756770?mx=2 search 10 Oct 2025 20:00:05 UTC
no other snapshots from this url
Redirected from https://twitter.com/nk23x/status/1976338704571756770#m
no other snapshots from this url
All snapshots from host twitter.com
from host x.com

Webpage Screenshot share download .zip report bug or abuse Buy me a coffee

Post

@nk23x
@nk23x

heute in der @TehranTimes79: grundgesetzwidriger, völkerrechtswidriger einsatz der @Bw_Einsatz gegen den #Iran! @Team_Luftwaffe bombardiert #Iran! @_FriedrichMerz MUSS ZURÜCKTRETEN! Pistorius MUSS ZURÜCKTRETEN! das ist hochverrat!
tehrantimes.com/news/518889/Ge...

Translate post

A member of the Israeli army with knowledge of the matter has told Iranian intelligence that a group of German military forces was stationed in Israel at the request of the regime during the 12-day war. They participated in military operations, under an agreement that required Israel to keep Germany's involvement a secret. The agreement was made in confidentiality between German and Israeli commanders, but it has been obtained by Iranians.

Germany's aid to Israel marks the second time it has joined an aggressor against Iran. Berlin also supplied Iraqi Dictator Saddam Hussein with chemical weapons, which he used during his invasion of Iran in the 1980s.

The Tehran Times understands that German troops were financially compensated for their service to Israel but chose to leave the occupied territories immediately after the war ended despite their initial promises. As the conflict escalated and Iran targeted several military and sensitive sites, the Israelis discovered that the German forces were reluctant to continue their involvement.

According to a leaked Israeli assessment, the German forces' departure unnerved the regime. Zionists were, however, content with how France participated in the war on Israel's behalf.

5:27 PM · Oct 9, 2025 · **32** Views

3

New to X?

Sign up now to get your own personalized timeline!

Sign up with Apple

Create account

By signing up, you agree to the Terms of Service and Privacy Policy, including Cookie Use.

What's happening

New York Comic Con 2025
LIVE

Business and finance · Trending
#CashAppPools
Trending with Pooling, #CashAppFriday
3,289 posts

Trending in United States
Lackadaisy
1,616 posts

Trending in United States
Lute
5,096 posts

Business and finance · Trending
BUY THE DIP
6,702 posts

Show more

Terms of Service | Privacy Policy | Cookie Policy | Accessibility | Ads info | More ··· © 2025 X Corp.

A member of the Israeli army with knowledge of the matter has told Iranian intelligence that a group of German military forces was stationed in Israel at the request of the regime during the 12-day war. They participated in military operations, under an agreement that required Israel to keep Germany's involvement a secret. The agreement was made in confidentiality between German and Israeli commanders, but it has been obtained by Iranians.

Germany's aid to Israel marks the second time it has joined an aggressor against Iran. Berlin also supplied Iraqi Dictator Saddam Hussein with chemical weapons, which he used during his invasion of Iran in the 1980s.

The Tehran Times understands that German troops were financially compensated for their service to Israel but chose to leave the occupied territories immediately after the war ended despite their initial promises. As the conflict escalated and Iran targeted several military and sensitive sites, the Israelis discovered that the German forces were reluctant to continue their involvement.

According to a leaked Israeli assessment, the German forces' departure unnerved the regime. Zionists were, however, content with how France participated in the war on Israel's behalf.

archive.today
webpage capture

Saved from https://x.com/TehranTimes79/status/1975986360109461974?mx=2 search
no other snapshots from this url
Redirected from https://twitter.com/TehranTimes79/status/1975986360109461974#m
no other snapshots from this url
All snapshots from host twitter.com
from host x.com

10 Oct 2025 19:50:00 UTC

Webpage Screenshot

share download .zip report bug or abuse Buy me a coffee

← Post

Tehran Times
@TehranTimes79

#EXCLUSIVE
Germany wasn't just talking the talk when Israel attacked Iran. Information obtained by the Tehran Times reveals German military had deployed forces to the occupied territories, and directly helped Israel's war efforts.

From tehrantimes.com

6:07 PM · Oct 8, 2025 · **49.8K** Views

39 540 1.1K 81

Read 39 replies

New to X?

Sign up now to get your own personalized timeline!

Sign up with Apple

Create account

By signing up, you agree to the Terms of Service and Privacy Policy, including Cookie Use.

Something went wrong. Try reloading.

Retry

Terms of Service | Privacy Policy | Cookie Policy | Accessibility | Ads info | More ··· © 2025 X Corp.

Tehran Times @TehranTimes79 · Oct 8

A member of the Israeli army revealed that commanders of Germany and Israel signed an agreement that required the regime to keep the European country's involvement a secret. It is not clear whether Germany's parliament had approved of the deployment.

1 7 29 1.7K

Tehran Times @TehranTimes79 · Oct 8

German military forces were stationed in Israel at the regime's request. Iran has obtained specific details, including names of German personnel, the nature of their collaboration, and supporting documentation.

1 7 31 1.3K

Tehran Times @TehranTimes79 · Oct 8

German troops, despite receiving payments from Israel, left the occupied territories prematurely after the war ended and Iran successfully targeted sensitive Israeli sites, a departure that unnerved the regime.

2 6 33 2.9K

Tehran Times @TehranTimes79 · Oct 8

This marks the second time Germany has sided with an aggressor against Iran, having previously supplied Saddam Hussein with chemical weapons used during his invasion in the 1980s.

2 9 44 2.8K

rchive.today
webpage capture

Saved from https://x.com/nk23x/status/1976729260715831429?mx=2 search 10 Oct 2025 20:04:12 UTC
no other snapshots from this url
Redirected from https://twitter.com/nk23x/status/1976729260715831429#m
no other snapshots from this url
All snapshots from host twitter.com
from host x.com

Webpage Screenshot share download .zip report bug or abuse Buy me a coffee

Post

@nk23x
@nk23x

the impact of what was published is huge for germany - the government must step down, this is treason if they didn't inform parliament which is the case. new elections would be required and that would wipe cdu spd greens fdp off the political landscape in favor of afd.

7:19 PM · Oct 10, 2025 · **10** Views

1

New to X?

Sign up now to get your own personalized timeline!

Sign up with Apple

Create account

By signing up, you agree to the Terms of Service and Privacy Policy, including Cookie Use.

Something went wrong. Try reloading.

Retry

Terms of Service | Privacy Policy | Cookie Policy | Accessibility | Ads Info | More ··· © 2025 X Corp.

archive.today
webpage capture

Saved from https://x.com/nk23x/status/1976727231222432178?mx=2 search 10 Oct 2025 20:00:42 UTC
no other snapshots from this url
Redirected from https://twitter.com/nk23x/status/1976727231222432178#m
no other snapshots from this url
All snapshots from host twitter.com
from host x.com

Webpage Screenshot share download .zip report bug or abuse Buy me a coffee

Post

@nk23x
@nk23x

it looks like that the article by @TehranTimes79 about bundeswehr idf joint op attacking iranian nuclear facilities is artificially suppressed making it's round in x. that was fast. so try this:

> **Willem S.** @WillemS91890364 · Oct 2
> Replying to @sufistreet @shatterhaendle and 2 others
> Unsere Kameraden trainieren mit der israelischen Armee. Keine Regierung und auch kein Terrorliebhaber kann den Bund zwischen IDF und Bundeswehr zerstören. Wenn es dir nicht gefällt, verpiss dich doch, Dahergelaufener.

7:11 PM · Oct 10, 2025 · **7** Views

1

New to X?

Sign up now to get your own personalized timeline!

Sign up with Apple

Create account

By signing up, you agree to the Terms of Service and Privacy Policy, including Cookie Use.

What's happening

New York Comic Con 2025
LIVE

Business and finance · Trending
#CashAppPools
Trending with Pooling, #CashAppFriday
3,289 posts

Trending in United States
Lackadaisy
1,616 posts

Trending in United States
Lute
5,096 posts

Business and finance · Trending
BUY THE DIP
6,702 posts

Show more

Terms of Service | Privacy Policy | Cookie Policy | Accessibility | Ads Info | More ··· © 2025 X Corp.

By Staff Writer

Exclusive: German troops were directly involved in ‘dirty work’

October 8, 2025 - 20:59 Politics

The Tehran Times reveals Germany’s military role in Israel’s 12-day aggression against Iran

> TEHRAN – In June, Germany was one of the few countries to back Israeli strikes on Iran’s civilian, nuclear, and military sites, and arguably the most vocal among them. The German Chancellor angered both Iranians and Germans during the conflict when he defended Israel’s aggression, stating that it was doing "dirty work" for Western states.

Friedrich Merz also stated that he had been notified of the illegal attacks in advance, adding that not attacking Iranians was not an "option" for Israelis, who, he claimed, had the right to "defend themselves." Israel carried out the attacks, killing over 1000 Iranians in the process, claiming they were intended to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons.

» German government must seek a majority vote in Bundestag before joining a foreign war

However, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) had found no evidence that Iran was moving toward nuclear weapons—a fact most recently reiterated by the UN nuclear watchdog’s Director-General, Rafael Grossi, last week. Israel's decision to launch an all-out war against Iran brought the region to the brink of a conflagration that, had it not been contained, would have had long-lasting reverberations not only for West Asia but also for the Western world, a fact Germany was fully aware of when it backed the action.

New information obtained by the Tehran Times reveals that Germany’s support for Israel during the 12-day war extended beyond political and diplomatic statements. Berlin, in fact, played an active role in helping Israel achieve its war goals by deploying troops to the occupied territories.

A member of the Israeli army with knowledge of the matter has told Iranian intelligence that a group of German military forces was stationed in Israel at the request of the regime during the 12-day war. They participated in military operations, under an agreement that required Israel to keep Germany’s involvement a secret. The agreement was made in confidentiality between German and Israeli commanders, but it has been obtained by Iranians.

Germany’s aid to Israel marks the second time it has joined an aggressor against Iran. Berlin also supplied Iraqi Dictator Saddam Hussein with chemical weapons, which he used during his invasion of Iran in the 1980s.

The Tehran Times understands that German troops were financially compensated for their service to Israel but chose to leave the occupied territories immediately after the war ended despite their initial promises. As the conflict escalated and Iran targeted several military and sensitive sites, the Israelis discovered that the German forces were reluctant to continue their involvement.

According to a leaked Israeli assessment, the German forces’ departure unnerved the regime. Zionists were, however, content with how France participated in the war on Israel’s behalf.

It remains unclear whether the German parliament approved the deployment. The German government is constitutionally prohibited from sending troops to a foreign war on its own initiative and is legally required to seek a majority vote in the Bundestag first. This system was deliberately established after World War II to prevent the executive branch from unilaterally initiating war.

The Tehran Times has been informed that details regarding the names of the German personnel involved, the nature of the collaboration, and supporting documentation have been made available to Iran.

The revelation comes as Israel grapples with what Hebrew media calls a "spy crisis." According to a report by Israel's Internal Security Agency (SHINBET), espionage cases in Israel increased by approximately 400 percent in 2024. That figure is expected to have risen further in the first half of 2025. Several Israelis have been arrested on espionage charges in recent months, with the regime linking almost all of them to Iran. Iran’s Intelligence Minister Esmaeil Khatib has stated that a large number of Israelis collaborate with Iran either for money or out of hatred towards Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu.